

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

શ્ર

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/606,702	06/29/2000	Mark R. Johansen	470AM	7467
7:	590 07/17/2003			
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle Learman & McCulloch PC P O Box 4390			EXAMINER	
			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA	
Troy, MI 4809	99-4390	OO ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
			3727	17
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003	' /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/606,702	JOHANSEN, MARK R.
	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
		Niki M. Eloshway	3727
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
herefore, nal rejecti ondition fo	Y FILED 10 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T further action by the applicant is required to on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: or allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appen (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	olication. A proper reply to a which places the application in
		REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🔲 Th	e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
eve ON 700 Extension ave been filed 7 CFR 1.17(a) above, if ch	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ILY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 6.07(f). Is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The distribution of the state for purposes of determining the period of extention is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten the extention of the shorten than three in the state of the shorten adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date. S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF added on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of led statutory period for reply originally set	e of the final rejection. THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
	otice of Appeal was filed on Appellar FR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C		
. The	proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:	
(a) 🛚	they raise new issues that would require fun	ther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);
(b) 🗌	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	,
	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m	naterially reducing or simplifying th
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: New issues are raised regarding the tw	vo layers adhered together in the c	<u>ар</u> .
. 🗌 Appl	icant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):	
. Newl	y proposed or amended claim(s) wou celing the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed amendmen
.⊠ The appl	a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request ication in condition for allowance because: \S	for reconsideration has been co See Continuation Sheet.	onsidered but does NOT place the
	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were newly
	ourposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme anation of how the new or amended claims		
The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	S:	
Clai	m(s) allowed:		
	m(s) objected to:		
Clai	m(s) rejected:		
Clai	m(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
. The	proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.
. Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
0.□ Othe		1	uux
	M. Whillies has		TIPS
	Niki M. Eloshway Patent Examinor	SUPERVISO	LÉE ÝOÚNG DRY PATENT EXAMINEP DI OGY CENTER 3700

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/606,702.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the plug is not formed simultaneously with the container. It is the examiner's position that Duhaime et al. teaches a closure at 34 which is molded with a container and then removed therefrom. The secondary reference of Arnold teaches that it is known to compression mold the closure in a second cavity and to reuse the closure.